

Assessment Form and the Evaluation Criteria of the <u>Achieved Results</u> of the UNIZA Grant Project

Name of the project:

UNIVERSITY OF ŽILINA

Name and surname of the lead project researcher:

A personal number of the lead project researchers:

Year of birth of the lead project researchers:

Name and surname of the project researcher/researchers:

Year of birth of the project researcher/researchers:

Name and surname of the project supervisor (when dealing with 2nd and 3rd level students):

Workplace (faculty, department):

Project results evaluation system:

The evaluation committee assigns points to each aspect on a scale of 0 to 5, then calculates the overall value of the quality level of the project concerning the achieved results using formula (2).

$$HK = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i . s_i$$

(2)

where HK is the overall quality value of the project,

- v_i is the significance weight of the *i* aspect of the solved project indicated by a decimal number (share of the overall score),
- si is the score given to the *i* aspect of the solved project

Table 1 Assessed aspects of the project results and their significance weight

Aspect of the project results	Share of the overall score	
Project outputs, their objectiveness,	30 %	
functionality, practicality		
Achieved scientific benefits of the project	20 %	
Adequacy of the implemented procedures	10 %	
and methods of solution		
Fulfilment of the project objectives	10 %	
Achieved practical benefits of the project	10 %	
Presentation of project results	20 %	

Table 2 Scoring scale of the <u>evaluation committee's assessment</u> of aspects of the project

Level of the assessed aspect in terms of the quality of the project results	Scoring
insufficient	0.
sufficient	1.
satisfactory	2.
good	3.
very good	4.
excellent	5.

The Evaluation Committee will vote on the final evaluation of the project:

a) fulfilled – HK value from the interval (3 to 5>

b) fulfilled with comments – HK value from the interval (1.5 to 3>

c) not fulfilled – HK value from the interval (0 to 1.5>

Table 3 Project evaluation table:

Aspect of the project results	Significance weight of the aspect v _i (-)	The score assigned by the evaluation committee s _i	The product of the significance weights and the points awarded
Project outputs, their	0.30.		
objectiveness,			
functionality, practicality			
Achieved scientific	0.20.		
benefits of the project			
Adequacy of the	0.10.		
implemented procedures			
and methods of solution			
Fulfilment of the project	0.10.		
objectives			
Achieved practical	0.10.		
benefits of the project			
Presentation of project	0.20.		
results			
The quality value of			
the project HK			

Indicate the final assessment of the project by the evaluation committee:

- a) fulfilled HK value from the interval (3 to 5>
- b) fulfilled with comments HK value from the interval (1.5 to 3>
- c) unfulfilled HK value from the interval (0 to 1.5>

Please provide an additional verbal project evaluation by the evaluation committee:

Please provide an evaluation of the efficiency of the use of the funds allocated to the project:

Names, surnames and signatures of the evaluation committee members:

Date: