

Annex No. 2 to the Directive No. 180

Assessment Form and Evaluation Criteria for the UNIZA Grant Project Application

Name of the project:

Name and surname of the lead project researcher:

A personal number of the lead project researchers:

Year of birth of the lead project researchers:

Name and surname of the project researcher/researchers:

Year of birth of the project researcher/researchers:

Name and surname of the project supervisor (when dealing with 2nd and 3rd level students):

Workplace (faculty, department):

Opponent's evaluation system for the application:

The opponent assigns points to each aspect of the project proposal on a scale of 0 to 5. Subsequently, formula (1) is used to calculate the overall quality level of the grant application.

$$HK = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \cdot s_i \tag{1}$$

where HK is the overall quality of the project proposal,

- v_i is the significance weight of the *i* aspect of the project proposal indicated by a decimal number (share of the overall score),
- s_i is the score given to the *i* aspect of the project proposal

Table 1 Assessed aspects	of the project proposal	and their significance weight
Table T Assessed aspects	or the project proposal	and their significance weight

Aspect of the project proposal	Share of the overall score	
Project outputs, their objectiveness, functionality, practicality	35 %	
Expected scientific benefits of the project	20 %	
Adequacy of the proposed procedures and methods of solution	20 %	
Project objectives and their attainability	15 %	
Anticipated practical benefits of the project	10 %	

Table 2 Scoring system for the assessment of project proposal aspects

Level of the assessed aspect in terms of the quality of the project proposal	Scoring
insufficient	0.
sufficient	1.
satisfactory	2.
good	3.
very good	4.
excellent	5.

Note: Each aspect will be given a score, and the final rating of the project proposal will be determined by the formula (1).

Project proposal evaluation table:

Aspect of the project proposal	Significance weight of the project proposal aspect v _i (-)	The score assigned by the opponent s _i	The product of the significance weights and the points awarded
Expected project outputs, their objectiveness, functionality, practicality	0.35.		
Expected scientific benefits of the project	0.20.		
Adequacy of the proposed procedures and methods of solution	0.20.		
Project objectives and their attainability	0.15.		
Anticipated practical benefits of the project	0.10.		
Final assessment of the application			

Additional comments from the opponent, including an assessment of the adequacy of the proposed project funding (please specify):

Name and surname of the opponent:

Date:

Signature: